From: mike shanahan

To: Rodriguez, Charles

Cc: Robert.Plsek@CH2M.com; Theo.VonWallmenich@CH2M.com; Michael.Lehman@CH2M.com; Pope, Janet; Rolfes,

Sarah; Drexler, Timothy

**Subject:** Property owner request at Property owner request at Gravel Restoration versus Topsoil and Sod

Date: Saturday, March 25, 2017 11:09:12 AM

Attachments: USS Lead Gravel Restoration vs Topsoil and Sod.xlsx

### Hello Charles.

As we discussed in the field yesterday- Please see text below and attached evaluation of restoring the Upshaw property with the circular gravel driveway that he requested.

Safe regards-

## CH2M

# Michael K Shanahan /SAC

Manager-Health, Safety, and Environment

Construction Manager 2485 Natomas Park Dr.

Suite 600

Sacramento, Ca

ML: 916.915.7896 (Cell)

**EMAIL**: michael.shanahan@ch2m.com

From: Rohde, Beth/MKE

Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 3:49 PM

To: Shanahan, Michael/SAC

**Cc:** Von Wallmenich, Theo/DET; Lehman, Michael/MKE **Subject:** Gravel Restoration versus Topsoil and Sod

The property owner at has requested restoration of an area with gravel instead of sod. Attached is a quick evaluation which shows gravel restoration is a lower cost per square foot than topsoil. SulTRAC specifications require a minimum 4-inch thickness of gravel, so the cost evaluation was prepared for 6-inches of topsoil and sod against 2-inches of backfill and 4-inches of gravel. As with any of the property owner requests, this request is subject to EPA approval, but the attached can be used when preparing the change log.

Please let me know if there are any questions.

### Beth Rohde, PE

Environmental Engineer
D 1 414 847 0276
M 1 262 402 8026
F 1 414 454 8777

### CH2M

135 South 84<sup>th</sup> Street

Suite 400

Milwaukee, WI 53214

www.ch2m.com | LinkedIn | Twitter | Facebook